

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,617	12/29/2003	Matthew V. Metz	42P17809	7513
8791	1 7590 12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			OLSEN, ALLAN W	
SEVENTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELI	ES, CA 90025-1030		1763	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/748,617	METZ ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allan Olsen	1763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state toply received by the Office later than three months after the mai d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day in will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2003.				
·		nis action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims		•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-7,9,17,19-21 and 23 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2,8,18,22 and 24-26 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 7	The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
10)🛛 7	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	(s)					
1) 🛛 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
3) 🔲 Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9 and 17-26, drawn to a method of making nanowires, classified in class 216, subclass 95.
- II. Claims 10-16, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 117, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process makes separate nanowires having uniform composition.

Alternatively, inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can take place before the formation of a nanowire having segments with different solubility.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1763

During a telephone conversation with Tom Babbitt on December 9, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-9 and 17-26. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 10-16 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 23 each recites the limitation "...third nanowire...". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in these claims.

Art Unit: 1763

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 17, 19-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being Wu et al. in Nano Letters, Vol2, No. 2 83-86 (2002) (hereinafter, Wu).

Wu teaches forming a heterostructured nanowire through the VLS growth mechanism. Wu teaches periodically inserting Ge into a Si nano-wire growing from Au particle.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 8, 22 and 24-26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 571-272-1441. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 1-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Mills can be reached on 571-272-1439. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alla Olse

Allan Olsen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1763